# **OŠPÁYE** 8

# OTHER SOUND CHANGES

When a word is modified by some grammatical process (say attaching an affix), oftentimes the word can change slightly in form or pronunciation. While the particulars of what kind of changes actually occur differs wildly from language to language, these kind of processes happen in English just as in Dakota, so we will look at a few of those first.

As English words are often not spelled how they sound; oftentimes the changes that occur are obscured by the writing system. Probably the prime examples of this are the English plural marker -s and the past tense -ed. The plural is pronounced three distinct ways, either as s, z or iz. This can be seen in the three words cats, dogs, and busses which would phonetically be  $k \neq ts$ , dowgz, and busiz. Likewise, the past tense can be pronounced either t, d, or id; consider helped, cooled, and repeated, phonetically helpt, kuld, ripitid.

Another English example is the prefix *in-*, meaning *not*, such as *inaleinable*, or *inevitable*. This prefix changes form depending on the word it is attached to; we say *im-possible*, not *in-possible*, it is not *in-regular*, but *ir-regular*, and of course things are never *in-legal*, but *il-legal*.

### Vowel Loss

So, what kind of sound changes actually occur in Dakota? Probably the most common is the dropping of final vowels, and the subsequent changes that occur to the (now word-final) consonants. Remembering back to the chapter on words; Dakota words can either be contracting or non-contracting; where contracting words sometimes lose their final vowels. This occurs in the following situations.

If two words are closely associated in a sentence and work together to form a unit, the first will often drop its final vowel.

```
    iwóhdak + maší wanyánk + wahí škát + manké
    iwóhdag maší wanyáng wahí škád manké
    He told me to talk about it.
    I went to see him.
    I was playing.
```

If a compound noun is made of two different words (either joined to form a single word, or remaining separate), the first word will often drop its final vowel, and modify the consonant accordingly.

```
dakhóta + wičhóĥ'aŋ máza + ohnáke šúŋka + manítu
dakhód wičhóĥ'aŋ maswóhnake súŋng:mánitu
the Dakota way of life can (of food) a wolf
```

When contracting words reduplicate they undergo a similar process:

Another spot where vowel loss often occurs is the suffix -pi itself, which is reduced to either -b or -p.

As can be seen in the examples above, when a final vowel is dropped the preceding consonant also undergoes a change. The changes that occur usually fall into a nice pattern: voiced consonants like z,  $\S$  become their voiceless counterparts s,  $\S$ , and vice versa: voiceless consonants like t,  $\S$  become voiced: d,g. Of course, there are exceptions to this general pattern (the Dakota consonant  $\S$  does not have a voiced form, so it must become something else); and the full table is below.

This p/b can further change to an m if the preceding vowel is nasal: for example spanyánm

č	d	šíče	šíd ayé
č	g	síče	šig:šíče
p	b	ksápe	ksab:íč'iye kte
t	d	phéta	phed-okšáŋ yaŋkápi
k	g	waš'áke	wašág:ič'ičhiye
Z	S	yúze	yús ayé
ž	š	owíŋža	owíŋš-phikíye
ğ	ň	káğe	kaȟ-waší

When a contraction like this occurs before a word ending in a vowel, the final consonant remains syllable final, and does not 'jump' to the beginning of the next word. Instead, a glottal stop is inserted immediately before the vowel, preserving the syllable break

The other possibility, \*ška-dómani, never occurs.

Nasal vowels may affect this consonant-changing process; if after vowel-deletion a consonant ends up at the end of a syllable containing a nasal vowel; this consonant often changes to its nasal-counterpart; either m, n or ng. A table of consonants with their corresponding nasals is below.

Original Consonant	Nasal Counterpart
b, p, ph	m
d, t, th	n
g, k, kh	ng

Here are some examples of this process occurring:

háŋpa / háŋm	čhaŋháŋpi / čhaŋháŋm	šúŋka / šúŋng
shoe	sugar	dog

The verb <code>iŋyaŋkA</code>, or to run, owes part of its irregular conjugation to the effects of nasalization. This verb double conjugates, both changing the y to <code>bd</code> and prefixing <code>wa-</code> to create the "I" form, which would then be

expected to be \*wainpbdanke. However the presence of two nasal vowels surrounding the consonant cluster bd is enough to force each of these consonants to change into their nasal counterparts; and we get wainmanke instead. Some of the conjugates of this irregular verb appear below.

This process is much more common in the Lakota dialect than in Dakota, for Lakota has an additional ablaut where changeable A's become iŋ in certain circumstances: for instance before the future enclitic kte. Thus, while the verb yA, meaning to go, conjugates as blé, I go, in Lakota, it becomes mníŋ kte, or I will go in the future tense. As Dakota does not have this ablaut, these kind of changes in verb conjugation do not occur.

### NASALIZATION SPREAD

Nasalization spread is the process by which one nasalized vowel causes other nearby vowels to become nasalized as well. An example of this process is the word špaŋyáŋ, which comes from the word špaŋ, together with the causative suffix ya. When this ya follows the nasal aŋ, it also becomes nasalized.

This kind of nasalization spread can occur whenever a syllable which consists of a *glide + vowel* that has a nasal form occurs before or after a syllable with a nasal vowel already in it. The list of such nasalizable syllables are *ya*, *yi*, *yu*, *wa*, *wi*, *wu*, *ha*, *hi*, *hu*. Some further examples are below:

There are a few exceptions to this, the most notable being that if the syllable *yu* occurs as the instrumental prefix meaning *to cause*, nasalization is blocked and it never becomes *yuŋ*.

```
yuwášte unyúwaštepi yuȟíče unyúȟičapi
He corrected it. We corrected it. He woke her up. We woke her up.
```

Furthermore, the spread of nasalization can be blocked by intervening consonants, a process which is apparent when looking at bd-cores. Take waŋyaŋkA, to see, for example. While in its usual form we can see that this verb has two nasalized vowels; in fact only the first vowel is actually nasal underlyingly, and the syllable yaŋ appears nasalized due to nasalization spread. Looking at some conjugated forms of the verb confirms this:

```
wanyanke wanbdake wandake wanwičhayake

He saw her. I saw her. You saw her. He saw them.
```

exception here would be the pronounciation waŋčhíyaŋke

An occasional

A process much like nasalization spread also occurs in the forming of adverbs from cores, using the suffix -ya. Some examples of adverbs created in this way are as follows:

```
wakhán + ya yuónihan + ya ohómni + ya óthanin + ya
wakhányan yuónihanyan ohómniyan óthaninyan
sacredly respectfully encircling visibly
```

This suffix can also be attached to negative verbs (verbs which have šni attached to them), and in this case it also becomes yan, the nasal vowel occurring as a result of the nasal n in šni.

```
wíyukčan + šni + ya sdodyÁ + šni + ya špan + šni + ya
wíyukčanšniyan sdodyéšniyan španšníyan
thoughtlessly unknowingly without cooking
```

# MULTIPLE VOWELS

When a grammatical process causes multiple vowels to occur next to each other within a word, multiple different processes can occur. The most common is that both vowels are pronounced separately and independently, with a glottal stop inserted between them, much as when two vowels appear naturally adjacent within a word.

Or, two one of the vowels may be absorbed into the other.

Alternatively, instead of a glottal stop sometimes the glides *w* or *y* are inserted between the two vowels

And, in other circumstances, two dissimilar vowels occurring adjacent to each other can merge, and form a single vowel different from either of the originals.

Below is a table giving a list of pairs of vowels which can coalesce into a single vowel, as in the examples above.

ai	aiŋ/aŋiŋ	eo	aki	awo	aye	iye
a/e	iŋ	0	e	О	e/æ	e
		., .				.,
aŋo	ao	oi/oiŋ	wayu	ohaŋ	awa	ihaŋ
uŋ	0	uŋ	wo	uŋ	a	iŋ

Of course, more important than which processes can actually occur is when they do occur; various situations are outlined below. The following is by no means meant to be a comprehensive listing of all the various sound changes which can occur when two vowels appear adjacent to each other, but instead just to be a list of examples, to give a feel for how these processes work.

### Example: The Prefix Wa-

When attached to a core, the prefix *wa-* usually takes the place of the receiver of the action; for example:

waŋyáŋke	waŋwáŋyaŋke	špaŋyé	wašpáŋye
He sees it.	He sees.	He cooks it.	He cooks.

When the verb in question begins with an initial a, the resulting sequence wa-a does not contract, and a glottal stop is inserted between the two a's so they are pronounced distinctly.

adí	waádi	aíe	waáie
He climbed over it.	He climbed.	He gossiped about it.	He gossips.
aphé	waáphe	ayáte	waáyate
He hit it.	He hit things.	He fortells it.	He fortells things

This same prefix can occasionally create a noun when attached to a core however, where given a core *blah*, the noun has the meaning *one who blahs*. In this case, if the core begins with an initial a, the two sequential a's actually do contract to a single accented a.

akáȟpA	wákaȟpA	abdó	wábdo
to cover something	a sheet	shoulder blade	a type of blackbird
waŋyáŋkA	wáwaŋyaŋkA	abdézA	wábdezA
He sees it.	a guard / watcher	He is observant.	an inspector

These last two examples are quite interesting to compare; the verb waŋyáŋkA can be made either into the verb waŋwáŋyaŋkA, meaning he sees, or into the noun wáŋyaŋkA, a guard. Likewise the verb abdézA, with the addition of wa-, can either form the verb waábdezA, or the noun wábdezA, words which are distinguished only by whether or not the prefix contracts.

While this may seem really strange at first, that a prefix sometimes contracts and sometimes does not depending on its usage, English does the same things, whether or not you've noticed it before! Consider the common phrase *going to*, which often contracts to *gonna*. For example, it would not sound strange at all to contract the sentence *l'm going to* 

go on a run to l'm gonna go on a run. This contraction can always happen when going to is used to express the future tense, but it never occurs in other cases. Think of how strange it would sound to contract l'm going to the store to l'm gonna the store! Languages often use this trick of sometimes contracting, sometimes not to distinguish two words with different meanings which would otherwise sound identical, which is exactly what English and Dakota have done in these cases.

Of course, there are exceptions to this rule, some verbs do in fact contract the prefix *wa*-, especially when the verb has an idiomatic meaning

anákiȟme waánakiȟme wánakiȟme He hides his own. He hides his own things. He denies it.

Again, this is helps distinguish the two verbs; the one with the expected meaning remains uncontracted, whereas the one with the less predictable meaning contracts. Some further examples of this are below:

ahdí waáhdi wáhdi

He brings it home. He brings things home. He comes back from a hunt.

akhítA waákhitA wákhitA

He's on the lookout for it. He's looking for things. He's watching (as a sentinel).

A verb can be made into a noun by the addition of the instrument prefix i-, and when wa is further attached to such a word it contracts to form the prefix wi. The meaning of these prefixes is best illustrated by examples, as appear below.

yukpán iyúkpan wíyukpan

He grinds it. a thing for grinding something a blender

khičhanyAn ikhíčhanye wíkhičhanye

He works with it. a thing for working with a tool

This same contraction process occurs when the prefix *wa* is simply attached to a verb beginning with an *i*, as seen below.

iwáŋğe wiwaŋğe iyáwa wiyawa He asks her it. He questions her. He appraised it. He kept count. This process, where one vowel is absorbed by another, occurs in many other circumstances, and so to follow this pattern we must leave the discussion of wa-, and move now to discuss vowel absorption in general.

## **EXAMPLE: THE SEQUENCE AI**

In many other cases in fact, when a prefix ending in *a* is attached to a verb beginning in *i*, the *a* is absorbed and the sequence *ai* becomes the single stressed vowel *i*.

wičhá + ité	wičhíte	wičhá + ištá	wičhíšta
man + face	a human face	man + eye	a human eye
tha + istó	thísto	tha + ištá	thíšta
buffalo's + leg	a buffalo's leg	buffalo's + eye	a buffalo's eye

This contraction of ai to i happens even in the forming of compound words: consider the word for fishhook, čhakíyuhuğe, which is a contraction of the words čhaká, palate, and iyuhuğA, to break it by means of.

This contraction also happens when one (or both) of the vowels involved are nasalized; in this case the sequences *aŋi*, *aiŋ*, and *aŋiŋ* all contract to *iŋ*. For example, consider the compound words below

However, like almost all sound-change rules, this is not steadfast nor foolproof. There are also many situations where the combination ai does not contract at all. If the ai originates from the prefix a- being attached to a word beginning in i, both vowels remain (if they did not, the a would simply disappear and it would be impossible to tell any conjugation had occurred at all!) For example

The same is true if the a is a component of one of the instrumental prefixes ba, na, pa, or ka. In all of these cases no contraction occurs, and the resultant words begin with the sequences bai, nai, pai, or kai, all with a glottal stop separating the two vowels.

bahúŋ	baíč'ihuŋ	idé	paíde
to gash something with a knife	to cut oneself with a knife	to burn something	to light it by striking with something

There are yet other times when the sequence ai neither contracts to i nor remains unchanged, but instead coalesces to form the vowel  $\acute{e}$ . This often occurs when the prefix a has the meaning of to carry something with, when attached to the motion verb i, to arrive there. This sort of construction, of  $a+motion\ verb$ , is very common in Dakota, and so this is an important case of contraction to familiarize yourself with. First, a few examples without contraction, to get a feel for this usage of the verbs of motion.

hí	ahí	hiyáye	ahíyaye	
to arrive here	to arrive here	to pass by on the	to pass by carrying	
to arrive here	carrying smth.	way	something with	

If the motion verb in question begins with an i, contraction occurs.

iyáye	éyaye	ihúŋni	éhuŋni	
to depart from	to depart from	to depart from to arrive there		
here	here carrying it	to arrive there	bringing it	

In addition to the sequence ai, the combination aki often contracts to  $\acute{e}$  as well, especially when the ki is from the Dative conjugation. For example, the verb  $ki\ddot{c}h\acute{o}$ , meaning to invite someone to something, contains the combination aki when conjugated using either wa or ya. But, instead of the expected forms  $waki\ddot{c}ho$ , contraction occurs.

kičhó wéčho yéčho uŋkíčhopi
He invited her. I invited him. You invited her. We invited him.

#### EXAMPLE: THE CONTRACTION WÓ

The sequence *wa+o* often contracts to *wó*, and while there is not much to say about this particular contraction it is common enough and important enough to warrant its own section.

One very common occurrence of *wó* is on nouns created from stative verbs: this prefix functions much like the English suffix -*ness*, although is used much more widely.

wášte	wówašte	čhaŋzé	wóčhaŋze
It is good.	goodness	He is angry.	anger
eyé	wóeye	ohnáke	wóhnake
He said it.	a sentence	He put it in there.	a box / containe

When a verb that begins with an o takes the prefix wa-, this combination similarly contracts to  $w\dot{o}$ :

oyákA	wóyakA	očhíŋ	wóčhiŋ
to tell about it	to tell a story	to ask for something	to beg
odé	wóde	ophéthuŋ	wóphethuŋ
to look for it	to search	to buy it	to shop

Verbs which are formed using the instrumental prefix yu- can take in addition the prefix wa- as above, which takes the place of the receiver of the action. This may sometimes lead to contraction, and sometimes not; even when discussing the same verb! (However in those cases, the contracted verb will take on a different meaning than its non-contracted relative).

yuğá	yušná	yuhá	yužáža
to husk something	to drop something	to have something	to wash it
wayúğa to husk things	wayúšna to drop stuff	wayúha to carry things	wayúžaža to wash things
wóğa	wóšna	wóha	wóžaža
to husk corn	to sacrifice	to have things	to do laundry

Even in cases where contraction does occur, oftentimes it does not appear in the conjugated forms, which break up the *wa+yu* cluster, and thus the verb reverts to its more usual form.

wóžaža	wabdúžaža	wadúžaža	waúŋyužažapi
He did laundry.	l did laundry.	You did laundry.	We did laundry.
wókpaŋ He ground things.	wabdúkpaŋ I ground things.	wadúkpaŋ You ground things.	waúŋyukpaŋpi We ground things.
wókse	wabdúkse	wadúkse	waúŋyuksapi
He cut things.	I cut things.	You cut things.	We cut things.

As a quick side-note while discussing wó-, the possessive prefix thacontracts with wó- to form thó-;

wówašte	thówašte	wóksape	thóksape
goodness	his goodness	wisdom	her wisdom

However, even here there are exceptions; the possessive form of wóyuha, belongings, does not contract and is instead thawóyuha.

This contraction of *wao* to *wó* brings us to a more general question, what are some of the other contractions that *ao* can undergo?

# **EXAMPLE: THE SEQUENCE AO**

Oftentimes when one portion of a compound word ends in a and the next word begins in o, the final a is dropped and the last consonant (cluster) moved onto the second word, without any intervening glottal stop.

When a is added as a prefix before a word beginning in o however, no contraction occurs (if it did, there'd be no way to tell that the prefix a was ever there!)

If instead of two plain vowels, the sequence ano appears, it often contracts to un. As there are many compounds starting with the word čhan, tree or wood, here are some woodsy examples.

#### EXAMPLE: TERMINAL E

As one final example, we will look what happens when forming compound words where the first word ends in *e*. In this case, the *e* is usually dropped when the following word begins with a vowel. Unlike most cases of terminal vowel loss, no glottal stop is inserted and the final consonant of the first word does not change forms, but is instead tacked onto the following syllable. This kind of process happens in English in sentences such as *l* helped her, which is phonetically ay helpt er, but is instead pronounced by help ter.

čhaŋté + oyúze	čhaŋtóyuze	čhaŋté + ohnáka	čhaŋtóhnaka
heart + condition of	disposition	heart + to place inside	to cherish
ȟе + о + púza	ȟópuza	napé + ožáža	napóžaža
mountain+ place + dry	desert	hand + washing place	sink

# GLIDE INSERTION

In certain cases, instead of inserting a glottal stop, contraction, or coalescence, a glide (either w or y) is inserted between two neighboring vowels. In words formed so that an i is followed by one of the vowels a, o, or u, the glide y often appears between them, attached to the beginning of the second syllable.

This is more frequent in western dialects such as Lakota. For instance, the verb to speak in Dakota is  $i\acute{a}$ , but  $i\acute{v}\acute{a}$  in Lakota.

If the following vowel is instead nasal, either  $a\eta$ ,  $i\eta$ , or  $u\eta$ , then no glide is inserted.